SAPC-12137 Copy 2 of 6

14 January 1957

MEMORANDUM TO: Project Director

THROUGH

: Contracting Officer

SUBJECT

: Trip to Aerial Reconnaissance Laboratory (ARL) WADC. Wright-Patterson AF Base, Ohio.

- During the period 7 to 9 January 1957 the undersigned visited ARL for the following purposes.
 - Discuss time and need of FCC equipment.
 - Attend meeting at ARL. Subject discussed "Thin Base Film".
 - C. Obtain information relating to exploitation of Q-56 material.
- On 8 January 1957 I talked to Lt. Col. R. Herrington and Capt. Weininger regarding allocation of "B" cameras to FOG. Their feelings were although they have three A-1's and three A-2's they can support at least one B camera by February 1957. Herrington and Weininger want a "B" camera for training and familarization purposes. I informed them the FOG would have an opportunity in the interim to get acquainted with "B" cameras and their operation through observation and working with Hycon crews as they field and flight test the cameras Herrington felt this pro- 25X1 cedure is not adequate. I informed them we would attampt to burn over to them No. 8 camera. I emphasized however that this was not a commitment on our part. We would not be able to give a decision until operational requirements and delivery schedules of components and cameras are firmly established.
- I again mentioned confusion existing at this time regarding number of P & E rectifiers desired by FOG. Russ stated they want one (1) rectifier at this time and I should pass this information on to 25X1 Russ, like is concerned with the time wasted in getting rectifier into production.

Action: I informed on morning of 11 January 1957 of this conversation. will firm up other Services, need and take action to present consolidated requirement to P & E.

Regarding photographic ground support equipment Capt. Weininger (Sid Brewer's assistant) indicated he received Hycon's latest proposal pertaining to this type of equipment. He had reviewed it, out some items out and returned it to Hycon for action. In the course of the discussion Weininger informed me SAC

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1 will operate from one base. He feels from a photo standpoint SAC will have enough test and ground support equipment to support an operational base and a training site.

3. The meeting held at ARL on 8 January 1957 - Subject: Thin
Base Film; Was attended by representative of Eastman Kodak, Hq USAF, Hq
ARDC, SAC, TAC, Army and ARL. Some of the meonle attending were:

Ed Green,

Ed Green,

Art Smith, Maj. Leroy Williams, AFRD Hq USAF; Maj. Watson, Hq ARDE.

Mr. Woodford, ARL, acted as chairman of the conference.

Representatives of Eastman-Kodak stated they had a thin base film which they developed and is called SO 1188. Then through a questionanswer method brought out physical and emulsion characteristics. They mentioned some work was accomplished at EK in line of running material through some cameras and processors. They mentioned problems of shipping and storage. a film emulsion specialist at EK gave some figures relating to storage life of the film. One figure he gave was new to me. He stated film stored at "780 F or below had a life of about 12 months." The figure we received from EK was 12 to 18 months. We have however been using 12 months for our calculation. EX established that the primary problem with this type of film is one of tracking and that the problem existed in both the camera and processing. During the morning session it was also revealed by Maj. Leroy Williams AFRD. Hq USAF that his office some two years ago submitted a paper stating a requirement existed for thin base film within the Services. After looking at the paper it was established this was not an official paper. As a result of this meeting the requirement will be revised and submitted through official channels in order to establish an authority through which a task or project can be formulated to test thin base film.

The afternoon session consisted mostly of discussions on how to go about testing the thin base film. Very briefly the methodolgy proposed was cumbersome, time consuming, expensive and pathetic. It was estimated by personnel of ARL that if they undertook the evaluation of thin base film it would take them at least 2 years to come up with a report. If they gave it to a contractor they estimated a time of 8 to 10 months. My estimate for contractor time would be 12 months. would have prime responsibility for planning the test program propose to run thin base through all standard cameras, processors and printers. Camera tests would be on the beach and in flight. Film would be run through while cameras are in various positions (i.e. vertical, right oblique and left oblique) to determine tracking problems. Film would be tested in environmental chamber, etc. In all cases fresh film would be used for each test. After this time and expense a recommendation would be made as to whether existing standard equipment could be used, whether they have to be modified and in what manner, or the need to discard standard equipment and develop new equipment. In other words the 2 years or 8 to 10 months stated previously in this paragraph would only be the starting point for the development of hardware to utilize thin base film. All this time and expenditure would be spent to give the Services answers to questions this Project may have already accumulated.

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/09/29 : CIA-RDP33-02415A000200230031-9

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

exploitation who has been made or the correction who has been made or the correction with the correction which is a second to the correcti	of record andles the k and Maj. Wheel	er who are respec	ely the one perso West Coast, I did tively Chief and	on (I talk to Ass [†] t Chief.	25
One, v	earch Section.	Mr. Mc Cormick di out 5 or 6 weeks	d pass on two ite ago to get <u>inform</u>	ems of interest.	
did not know	how to fully exp	loit	SAC told Two, he though	they still the still	25
	ts dealing with Base to Hq. SAC		had been forw	rarded from	25
	1	fied report in the tis a short vers. Ohio. I found it	ion of the report	produced	25
written by by SAC at Loc	Action: I gav	t is a short vers. Ohio. I found in the Lt. Col. Geary and Idas. 11 Jan. 1957	ion of the report t an interesting all information n	produced report.	2!
written by by SAC at Loc	Action: I gay	t is a short vers. Ohio. I found in the Lt. Col. Geary and Idas. 11 Jan. 1957	ion of the report t an interesting all information n	produced report.	25
written by by SAC at Loc	Action: I gay	t is a short vers. Ohio. I found in the Lt. Col. Geary and Idas. 11 Jan. 1957	ion of the report t an interesting all information n	produced report.	25
written by by SAC at Loc	Action: I gay	t is a short vers. Ohio. I found in the Lt. Col. Geary and Idas. 11 Jan. 1957	ion of the report t an interesting all information n	produced report. eeded, while get it for	